### **OPINION SUMMARY**

## MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS EASTERN DISTRICT

# **DIVISION THREE**

STATE OF MISSOURI,	) No. ED102714
Respondent,	<ul><li>Appeal from the Circuit Court</li><li>of St. Francois County</li></ul>
vs.	) 10SF-CR02059
JOSEPH TROY WILSON, SR.,	) Honorable Sidney T. Pearson III
Appellant.	) Filed: May 10, 2016

Joseph Troy Wilson, Sr. ("Defendant") appeals the judgment entered upon a jury verdict convicting him of three counts of first-degree statutory sodomy (Counts II, III, and IV) and one count of first-degree child molestation (Count V) involving his minor children. The trial court's written judgment<sup>1</sup> reflected a 999-year-sentence for Counts III and IV, while the court's oral pronouncement of sentence reflected a sentence of life imprisonment for those two counts.

### AFFIRMED AS MODIFIED.

### Division Three holds:

- (1) The trial court did not commit reversible error in making an evidentiary ruling during the direct examination of defense witness Dr. Ann Duncan-Hively because Defendant has failed to demonstrate any prejudice resulted from the trial court's ruling.
- (2) There is sufficient evidence to establish Defendant engaged in an act involving his genitals and S.V.'s anus, the act alleged in Count II.
- (3) The trial court did not plainly err in failing to intervene sua sponte during the prosecutor's cross-examination of Defendant.
- (4) There is a material difference between the written judgment reflecting a 999-year-sentence for Counts III and IV and the oral pronouncement of a sentence of life imprisonment on those two counts. Because the oral pronouncement controls, we correct and modify the judgment in this case to reflect a sentence of life imprisonment for Counts III and IV, with the sentences to run consecutively with each other and with the sentences for Counts II and V.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All references to the trial court's written judgment refer to the court's amended judgment entered on February 28, 2015.

Opinion by: Robert M. Clayton III, P.J.

Lawrence E. Mooney, J., and James M. Dowd, J., concur.

Attorney for Appellant: Rosalynn Koch

Attorney for Respondent: Chris Koster, Gregory L. Barnes

THIS SUMMARY IS NOT PART OF THE OPINION OF THE COURT. IT HAS BEEN PREPARED FOR THE CONVENIENCE OF THE READER AND SHOULD NOT BE QUOTED OR CITED.